

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
SOUTH CAROLINA
DOCKET NO. 2003-327-C**

IN RE:

Continued Availability of Unbundled High)
Capacity Loops at Certain Locations and)
Unbundled High Capacity Transport on Certain)
Routes Pursuant to the Federal Communication)
Commission's Triennial Review Order)
_____)

**AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.,
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS, INC., AND
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, LLC'S
OBJECTIONS TO BELL SOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S
FIRST REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS [Nos. 1-5]**

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., AT&T Communications, Inc. and AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rules 103-853 and 103-854 of the Rules and Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (hereinafter "Commission"), object generally to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s (hereinafter "BellSouth") First Requests for Production of Documents, served on December 8, 2003, as described below.

OVERVIEW

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. and AT&T Communications, Inc. are not parties to this proceeding and are not certificated carriers in South Carolina. Accordingly, these parties object to any discovery served on them in this proceeding. Subject to the foregoing, responses will be provided on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC (hereinafter "AT&T").

AT&T files these objections for purposes of complying with the ten (10) day requirement contained in the Proposed Initial Procedural Order (the "*Procedural Order*") submitted by CompSouth and BellSouth to the Commission. These objections are preliminary in nature. Should additional grounds for objection be discovered as AT&T prepares its responses to any discovery, or at any time prior to hearing, AT&T reserves the right to supplement, revise, and/or modify these objections.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

AT&T makes the following general objections to the Requests which will be incorporated by reference into AT&T's specific responses when AT&T responds to the Requests.

1. Definitions

A. AT&T objects to the lengthy "Definitions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that such terms are overly broad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant, oppressive and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Furthermore, AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section to the extent that it utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations, but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these Requests.

B. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to include the discovery of information protected by attorney/client privilege, the work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

C. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to include the discovery of information and/or materials containing the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of AT&T concerning the subject of the proceeding and prepared and developed in anticipation of litigation pursuant to Rule 26(b)(3) without the requisite showing that BellSouth has substantial need of the materials and/or information in the preparation of its case and that BellSouth is unable without undue hardship to obtain the substantial equivalent of the information and/or materials by other means.

D. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to impose discovery obligations on AT&T inconsistent with, or beyond the scope of, what is permitted under the *Procedural Order*, the Rules and Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and Rules 26 and 34 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

E. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to seek discovery of matters other than those subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission's (hereinafter "FCC") Triennial Review Order

and other applicable South Carolina law.

F. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests purport to seek disclosure of information that is proprietary confidential information or a "trade secret" without the issuance of an appropriate Protective Order pursuant to South Carolina law.

G. AT&T objects to the definitions of "you," "your," "AT&T," and "person" to the extent that the definitions include natural persons or entities which are not parties to this proceeding, not subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and not subject to the applicable discovery rules. Subject to the foregoing, and without waiving any objection, general or specific, unless otherwise ordered, responses will be provided only on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, which is a certificated carrier authorized to provide regulated communications services in South Carolina and which is a party to this proceeding.

2. Instructions

A. AT&T objects to the "General Instructions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the "instructions" operate to impose discovery obligations on AT&T inconsistent with, or beyond the scope of, what is permitted under the *Procedural Order*, the Rules and Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and Rules 26 and 34 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

B. AT&T objects to the "General Instructions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the "instructions" operate to seek disclosure of the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of AT&T concerning the subject of litigation without the requisite showing under Rule 26(b)(3) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

C. AT&T objects to the "General Instructions" section of BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the "instructions" operate to seek disclosure of "all" information in AT&T's "possession, custody or control" and to the extent that said "instruction" requires AT&T to provide information or materials beyond its present knowledge, recollection or possession. With respect thereto, AT&T has employees located in many different locations in South Carolina and other states. In the course of conducting business on a nationwide basis, AT&T creates numerous documents that are not subject to either the Commission or FCC record retention requirements. These documents are

kept in numerous locations and frequently are moved from location to location as employees change jobs or as business objectives change. Therefore, it is impossible for AT&T to affirm that every responsive document in existence has been provided in response to all Requests. Instead, where provided, AT&T's responses will provide all information obtained by AT&T after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with those Requests. Such search will include only a review of those files that are reasonably expected to contain the requested information. To the extent that the "instructions" require more, AT&T objects on the grounds that compliance would be unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming to provide such responsive information.

3. General Objections to Requests

A. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests are overly broad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant, oppressive and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence pursuant to the *Procedural Order*, the Rules and Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and Rules 26 and 34 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

B. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests purport to seek discovery of information protected by attorney/client privilege, the work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

C. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests purport to seek discovery of information and/or materials containing the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of AT&T concerning the subject of the proceeding and prepared and developed in anticipation of litigation pursuant to Rule 26(b)(3) without the requisite showing that BellSouth has substantial need of the materials and/or information in the preparation of its case and that BellSouth is unable without undue hardship to obtain the substantial equivalent of the information and/or materials by other means.

D. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests purport to impose discovery obligations on AT&T inconsistent with, or beyond the scope of, what is permitted under the *Procedural Order*, the Rules and Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and Rules 26 and 34 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

E. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests purport to seek discovery of matters other than those subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to the FCC's Triennial Review Order and other applicable South Carolina law.


F. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests purport to seek disclosure of information that is proprietary confidential information or a "trade secret" without the issuance of an appropriate Protective Order pursuant to South Carolina law.

G. AT&T objects to all Requests which require the disclosure of information which already is in the public domain or otherwise on record with the Commission or the FCC.

H. AT&T objects to BellSouth's First Requests for Production of Documents to AT&T to the extent that the Requests seek information and discovery of facts known and opinions held by experts acquired and/or developed in anticipation of litigation or for hearing and outside the scope of discoverable information pursuant to the *Procedural Order*, the Rules and Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and Rules 26 and 34 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

I. Pursuant to the *Procedural Order*, the Triennial Review Order, and applicable South Carolina law, to the extent that BellSouth's Requests request specific financial, business or proprietary information regarding AT&T's economic business model, AT&T objects to providing or producing any such information on the grounds that those Requests presume that the market entry analysis is contingent upon AT&T's economic business model instead of the hypothetical business model contemplated by the Triennial Review Order.

Respectfully submitted, this the 18th day of December, 2003.




John J. Pringle, Jr.
Ellis, Lawhorne & Sims, P.A.
1501 Main Street
5th Floor
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 779-0066 (Telephone)

Attorney for
AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.
AT&T Communications, Inc.
AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served to all parties of record by electronic mail and by posting to the public website <http://www.compsouth.net> this 18th day of December, 2003.


Margaret A. Plasman